

## STATEMENT OF THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method for determining the correct escheat state for abandoned property, said method comprising:

interrogating a data base of property owner addresses;  
comparing the addresses, including street address, city, state, and zip code, to a US Postal Service certified data base; and  
assigning a probable escheat state based on the comparison of street address, city, state, and zip code from the data base of property owner addresses with the US Postal Service certified data base.

2. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

assigning a confidence code to the escheat state.

3. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

making corrections to property owner addresses based on the comparison.

4. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

filtering non-address information from the property owner data base prior to said step of comparing.

5. (Original) The method according to claim 4, wherein:

the non-address information includes legal designations of the type of property ownership.

6. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

assigning at least one alternate escheat state based on the comparison.

7. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

comparing the address to a list of foreign country names; and

flagging the addresses which include foreign country names that match the list.

8. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

comparing the addresses to a list of non-state location designations.

9. (Original) The method according to claim 3, further comprising:

indicating which addresses were corrected.

10. (Original) The method according to claim 9, further comprising:

indicating the type of correction made.

11. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 2, wherein:

~~said step of comparing includes comparing city, state, and zip code said~~

confidence code represents 100% of the address from the data base of property owners

has an exact match in the US Postal Service certified data base, and

said confidence code is based on a ratio of possible matches when an exact match  
is not found.

12. (Original) The method according to claim 11, further comprising:

correcting the property owner address zip code when city and state are correct but  
the zip code is incorrect by the comparison.

13. (Original) The method according to claim 11, further comprising:

correcting the property owner address state when the city and zip code are correct  
but the state is incorrect by the comparison.

14. (Original) The method according to claim 11, further comprising:

correcting the property owner address state when the zip code is incorrect and the  
state is ambiguous because the city name exists in more than one state, said step of  
correcting the property owner address state including a statistical analysis of the number  
of zip codes for the city in each state having the city.

15. (Original) The method according to claim 14, wherein:

the statistical analysis includes comparison to a user selected high threshold.

16. (Original) The method according to claim 15, wherein:

the statistical analysis includes comparison to a user selected low threshold.

17. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

prior to said step of comparing, filtering the property owner addresses based on user supplied suppression data.

18. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

indicating how the probable escheat state was assigned.

19. (Original) The method according to claim 2, further comprising:

generating a report that defines the composition of the abandoned property database and summarizes metrics by the confidence code.

20. (Original) The method according to claim 1, wherein:

said method is performed with a computer coupled to the Internet.